Male Sexual Polymorphism, Alternative Reproductive Tactics, and Androgens in Combtooth Blennies (Pisces: Blenniidae)
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In species in which intense intermale competition for the access to females is present males of lower competitive ability may adopt alternative reproductive tactics (ART) to get access to mates. These ART translate in many cases into male sexual polymorphism, with individuals following distinctly different tactics. Usually two alternative male morphs can be recognized in species with ART: (1) bourgeois males that compete for access to mates invest in typically male behaviors, such as building elaborated nests or displaying ornaments; and (2) parasitic males that take advantage of the success of the bourgeois males in attracting females and attempt “sneaker” fertilizations (e.g., sneaker and satellite males). In combtooth blennies (Blenniidae) the co-occurrence of ART and male sexual polymorphism has been described for two temperate species: the peacock blenny, Salaria pavo, and the Azorean rock-pool blenny, Parablennius sanguinolentus parvicornis. Interestingly, while in the peacock blenny the alternative male morph adopts a sneaker tactic, in the rock-pool blenny parasitic males act as satellites to nest-holder males. Thus, this variation in the ART expressed in these two closely related species allows for a comparative study of the proximate and ultimate factors affecting the expression of the two ART. In this article we summarize the available information on androgen levels in bourgeois and parasitic males of natural populations of the two species and of recent studies on the effect of exogenous administration of androgens on tactic switching in parasitic males of the two species. The information is discussed within the frame of the relative plasticity hypothesis, which predicts that plastic alternative morphs should show differences in hormone levels and that the administration of sex steroids should be effective in promoting the switch from the parasitic to bourgeois tactic. The evidence is only partly consistent with this hypothesis. Alternatively, a social transduction hypothesis that better fits the available data on androgens and ART in teleost is proposed. It states that the observed differences in androgen levels between alternative morphs should not be interpreted as an organization vs activation effect of steroids, but rather as the limited vs lifelong responsiveness of the neuroendocrine axis to social regulation.
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In some species males are so different from females that in some cases they were initially classified as different species (e.g., Anas platyrhynchos and Anas boschas; Linnaeus, 1758). It was not until Charles Darwin proposed the theory of sexual selection (Darwin 1859, 1871) that the evolutionary processes underlying these differences were understood. Basically, owing to differences in reproductive investment between sexes, individuals of one sex, usually the males, tend to compete among themselves for access to mates. In addition, the associated costs of usually larger investments in reproduction by females makes their choice of mates a very selective process. The two main primary factors that generate this reproductive asymmetry among sexes are anisogamy (i.e., the fact that females produce much larger and more energetic and
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costly gametes than males) and parental investment (Maynard-Smith, 1978; Trivers, 1972; Andersson, 1994). These two factors usually promote lower reproductive rates and consequently smaller sexual activity (e.g., more restricted periods of sexual activity) in females than in males, which translates into biased operational sex ratios (Parker and Simmons, 1996; Kvarnemo and Ahnesjö, 1996). Thus, as a rule, males compete for females and their fitness depends on the number of mates with whom they breed, while females are choosy and their fitness is dependent on the quality of their partners (both due to direct or to indirect benefits to females for mating with the selected mate). This general rule was initially proposed by Darwin (1859), who, referring to sexual selection, wrote that it “... depends, not on a struggle for existence, but on a struggle between the males for possession of the females; the result is not death to the unsuccessful competitor, but few or no offspring.”

Apart from sex differences in behavior and morphology within sex, variation also occurs in species with intense male competition for access to mates, in which individuals of lower competitive ability may adopt alternative mating tactics (ART) to reproduce. Sexual polymorphism is a phenomenon that only recently attracted the attention of behavioral researchers, although the existence of diandric species has been known for quite some time. Because males are usually the competing sex (see above) sexual polymorphism occurs mainly among males (but see Henson and Warner, 1997, for the possible variations in female reproductive behavior). Within the vertebrates, teleost fishes are the taxa with the larger number of sexually polymorphic species that has been described (see Taborsky, 1999, for a review).

The known cases of sexual polymorphisms correspond mostly to alternative mating tactics that have been classified as bourgeois and as parasitic (Taborsky, 1997). Bourgeois males actively compete among themselves for access to female mates, e.g., by defending breeding territories, while parasitic males exploit the investment of bourgeois males to fertilize eggs, e.g., by mimicking female morphology and behavior (Taborsky, 1997). Thus bourgeois males invest in male-displaying characteristics such as morphological ornaments (e.g., extension of the tail in swordtails, Xiphophorus spp.; Basolo, 1990), mating calls (e.g., humming calls in plainfin midshipman, Porichthys notatus; Brantley and Bass, 1994), the release of sex pheromones (e.g., sex-pheromone producing anal gland in blennies; Laumen, Pern, and Blüm, 1974), or the elaboration and ornamentation of nests (e.g., Mediterranean wrasses; Lejeune, 1985). In contrast, parasitic males can (1) try to approach the mating site without being noticed, which can evolve to female mimicry (e.g., adoption of female courtship behavior by sneaker males in the sex-role-reversed population of the peacock blenny, Salaria pavo; Gonçalves, Almada, Oliveira, and Santos, 1996); (2) dart to the mating pair and release their sperm before the bourgeois male can react to its presence (e.g., streaking males in wrasses; Warner, 1984); or (3) cooperate with bourgeois males so that they tolerate their presence in the breeding grounds (e.g., helping behavior in the cichlid fish Neolamprologus brichardi; Taborsky, 1984).

The term parasitic as used here indicates that these kinds of males exploit the investment of the bourgeois males in mate attraction. However, as is outlined below, the parasitic tactic encompasses a range of relationships from what could be ascribed as almost true mutualism (both types of males appear to benefit) to true parasitism (only the parasitic males appear to benefit). In any scenario, without the initial bourgeois investment the alternative tactic would not be functional.

It must be emphasized that ART and sexual polymorphism are not synonymous, since ART can also occur in monoandric species, when a single male morph can adopt different conditional behavioral tactics (e.g., courtship vs forced copulations in guppies, Poecilia reticulata; Farr, 1989). This distinction illustrates the need for considering within-sex morphological variation (morphotypes) separately from within-sex behavioral variation (ethotypes), even if in some species both phenomena overlap while in some others only one of the two is present (e.g., color morphs in cichlids; Voss, 1980; and the above-mentioned variation in sex behavior in guppies). Moreover, both the ART and the sexual polymorphism may be fixed for life (e.g., Xiphophorus nigrensis; Ryan and Causey, 1989; Zimmerer and Kallmann, 1989) or flexible, in which case individuals may switch tactic/morph type during their lifetime (e.g., initial and terminal phase males in the bluehead wrasse, Thalassoma bifasciatum; Hoffman, Schildhauer and Warner, 1985).

The occurrence of ART offers the possibility for an insight into the endocrine mechanisms underlying reproductive behaviors, since in the parasitic tactic the expression of behavioral and morphological male traits is dissociated from gonad maturation and sperm production.
TWO TALES FROM THE INTERTIDAL:
ART AND SEXUAL POLYMORPHISM
IN COMBTOOTH BLENNIES

Combtooth blennies (Blenniidae, Teleostei) are small littoral fishes that comprise about 300 species occurring from tropical to temperate waters. Blenniids are generally sexually dimorphic, with males tending to be larger than females. Males also exhibit a variety of secondary sex characteristics (SSC), especially during the breeding season, such as enlarged supraborital tentacles (e.g., Aidablennius sphynx, and Parablennius spp.); crests on the head (e.g., Salaria spp.); an enlarged dorsal fin (e.g., A. sphynx); glands on the anal spines (Salaria spp. and Parablennius spp.); clublike glands on the tips of the soft rays of the dorsal, caudal and anal fins; and color markings on the head and/or body (e.g., Lipophrys spp.) (Zander, 1975; Papaconstantinou, 1979).

The basic blenniid breeding pattern consists of males defending a breeding territory in which females come to spawn in a cavity (crevices, holes, empty shells, and other nesting cavities). The eggs are adhesive and are deposited in the inner surface of the nest (Breder and Rosen, 1966; Tresher, 1984). Parental care is provided exclusively by the males that guard the eggs until hatching (Breder and Rosen, 1966; Gibson, 1969; Thresher, 1984; Almada and Santos, 1995). The fact that males are the care giving sex could lead to the prediction that females would have higher reproductive rates and that the operational sex ratio would be biased toward females. However, despite male parental care males are also the competing sex in blenniids. This contradiction is explained by the fact that male parental care is restricted to offspring guarding and to egg fanning, which allows males to continue breeding over the parental phase, and thus their reproductive rate is still higher than that in females (except in the cases when nests are very small and become saturated with a small number of eggs; e.g., Hastings, 1992). Thus, male reproductive competition occurs in most blenniid species and males of lower competitive ability can adopt ART to get access to mates.

Two blenniid species in which ART occur have been identified, the peacock blenny S. pavo and the Azorean rock-pool blenny Parablennius sanguinolentus parvicornis (Santos, 1985; Ruchon et al., 1995; Gonçalves et al., 1996). In Table 1 we propose a scheme to classify the sexually active male types in these species based on their morphology and behavior. In both species the evolution of the alternative tactic seems to be related to high regimens of intrasexual selection (e.g., male–male competition for nest sites) as indicated by the fact that mating success is highly associated with male body size among bourgeois males of both species (Oliveira, Almada, Forsgren, and Gonçalves, 1999; Oliveira, Miranda, Carvalho, Gonçalves, and Santos, 2000). Interestingly, the tactic used by the parasitic males is different between the two species, offering a unique opportunity for the comparative study of ART in closely related species.

In the peacock blenny population studied in Southern Portugal bourgeois males (total length ≈ 12 cm, age ≈ 2–3 years old) form nest aggregations that are so dense that the territories are virtually absent (Almada, Gonçalves, Santos, and Baptista, 1994). As a result a sex-role reversal in courtship can be observed (Almada, Gonçalves, Oliveira, and Santos, 1995). Although males retain the capacity to court when they have no eggs in the nest, they usually assume a passive role in courtship while the females compete aggressively among themselves and actively court males, displaying a female nuptial coloration (Almada et al., 1995). Some of the smaller and younger males (total length ≈ 7 cm, age ≈ 1 year old) adopt female nuptial coloration and female courting behavior toward nest-holder males as a means to try to enter the nest during or shortly after spawning of a female (Gonçalves et al., 1996).

In the Azorean rock-pool blenny P. s. parvicornis, larger and older males (total length ≈14 cm; age ≥ 2 years old) establish breeding territories in which they prepare a nest in a natural crevice. These males court females by signaling the location of the nest and circling and leading the females, and spawning occurs inside the nest. The males guard, clean, and aerate the eggs until they hatch (Santos, 1985; Santos and Barreiros, 1993; Santos, Nash, and Hawkins, 1995). Some of the smaller and younger males (total length ≈ 10 cm; age ≈ 1 year old) become attached to parental territories, acting as satellites to these territories which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Site attachment</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bourgeois male (i.e., with SSC)</td>
<td>Nest-holders</td>
<td>Floaters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasitic male (i.e., without SSC)</td>
<td>Satellites</td>
<td>Sneakers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a SSC, secondary sex characteristics.*
they help to defend and try to achieve sneaker fertilizations when the females enter the nests to spawn (Santos, 1985; Santos et al., 1995). The occurrence of few sneaker males in *P. s. parvicornis* has also been reported in the literature (“machos furtivos”; Santos, 1985), but recent data suggests they represent a proportion of satellites that do not manage to establish an association with a specific nest (R. F. Oliveira, unpublished data).

The occurrence of floater individuals, that is males with SSC fully expressed that do not establish a nest and that wander from nest to nest trying to take over a nest, has also been described for both species (Oliveira, Canario, Grober, and Santos, 2001a; Oliveira, Canario, Almada, Gonçalves, and Forsgren, 2001b).

In both species, the gonadosomatic index (i.e., the relative size of the gonads to total body mass) of parasitic (i.e., sneaker/satellite) males is significantly higher (approx. three times, Gonçalves et al., 1996; Santos, Hawkins, and Nash, 1996) than that of nest-holders. In contrast to nest-holders, the gonads of the parasitic males lack or present only vestigial testicular glands, which are gonadal accessory structures involved in steroidogenesis and sperm maturation (Reinboth and Becker, 1986; Lahnsteiner, Richtarski, and Patzner, 1990). Nevertheless, parasitic males from both species produce active sperm suggesting that there is a potential functional difference between their sperm and that of nest-holders.

In summary, although parasitic male morphotypes are present in both species they use different ART, namely female mimicking in *S. pavo* and satellite behavior in *P. s. parvicornis* (Table 2).

TABLE 2
Summary of the Sexually Polymorphic Characters in Two Blenniid Species with Alternative Reproductive Tactics, *S. pavo* and *P. sanguinolentus parvicornis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sexually polymorphic characters</th>
<th>Peacock blenny (<em>S. pavo</em>)</th>
<th>Azorean rock-pool blenny (<em>P. sanguinolentus parvicornis</em>)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behavior</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition for nests</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Territoriality</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental care</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtship</td>
<td>Male type; almost absent</td>
<td>Male-type; almost absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuptial coloration</td>
<td>Male coloration</td>
<td>Female coloration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2–3 years old</td>
<td>0–1 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size at maturity (SL)</td>
<td>Large (10 cm)</td>
<td>Small (6 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary sexual characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head ornaments</td>
<td>Heat crest</td>
<td>No head crest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anal glands</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reproductive biology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSI</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular gland</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent or vestigial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sperm</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex steroids</strong></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-Ketotesterone</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, although parasitic male morphotypes are present in both species they use different ART, namely female mimicking in *S. pavo* and satellite behavior in *P. s. parvicornis* (Table 2).

**HORMONAL MECHANISMS OF ART AND SEXUAL POLYMORPHISM: THE RELATIVE PLASTICITY HYPOTHESIS**

It has been hypothesized that the hormonal basis of ART would have a parallel in the activational-organizational effect of hormones (Arnold and Breedlove, 1985) depending on the plasticity of the tactics—Relative Plasticity Hypothesis (Moore, 1991). The rationale behind this hypothesis is that the effects of hormones in the differentiation of ART are equivalent to their effects in primary sex differentiation. Thus by making a distinction between fixed alternative phenotypes (i.e., in which individuals adopt one of the tactics for their entire life) and flexible alternative phenotypes (i.e., in which individuals may switch tactic during their lifetime) this hypothesis predicts an organizational role of hormones in the former case and an activational role in the latter one (Moore, 1991).

Two further predictions can then be extracted from this hypothesis: (1) that hormone profiles would differ in plastic adult morphs but not in fixed ones (Moore,
1991); and (2) that in flexible ART the effect of hormone manipulations on phenotypic differences in behavior and morphology should be effective in adults but not during early development (i.e., activation effect), while in fixed ART hormone manipulations should be effective during early development but not in adults (i.e., organizational effect) (Moore, 1991).

But in order to test the hypothesis and to understand the underlying mechanisms of ART what hormones are expected to be involved in the expression of ART in vertebrates? If one makes a parallelism with sex differentiation, sex steroids are good candidates since their role in sex differentiation in vertebrates, including fish, is well established (Nelson, 1999). Sex steroids should be considered as honest signals (sensu animal communication theory; Bradbury and Vehrencamp, 1998) that the gonads, as they mature, send to the rest of the organism to coordinate the expression of sexual behavior and the differentiation of sexual characters (i.e., indicating availability of mature gametes to be released; Oliveira and Almada, 1999). Among the sex steroids, the androgens were a first choice since they are greatly involved in male reproductive physiology (e.g., Borg, 1994).

Brantley, Wingfield, and Bass (1993) reviewed the available literature on androgen levels in species with ART and found that alternative phenotypes differ in their circulating 11-ketotestosterone (KT) levels and that there was no clear pattern regarding testosterone (T). In the species surveyed, which were not closely related to each other phylogenetically (i.e., phylogenetical bias is probably not present), bourgeois males had significantly higher levels of circulating KT than those adopting the parasitic tactic. Thus either KT plays a major role in the expression of the male bourgeois tactic or higher KT levels in bourgeois males reflect the responsiveness of this hormone to the expression of the tactic itself.

**TESTING THE RELATIVE PLASTICITY HYPOTHESIS IN BLENNIES: THE FIRST PREDICTION**

To test the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis, androgen content of *P. s. parvicornis* and *S. pavo* were analyzed (Oliveira et al., 2001a,b). In nature blood androgen levels of *P. s. parvicornis* nest-holders had higher levels of KT than satellites, while T levels were not significantly different between tactics (Oliveira et al., 2001a).

In *S. pavo*, due to the sneakers small size, blood collection was not possible. Thus, for this species instead of comparing circulating androgen levels, we compared testis androgen levels. Because the sneakers lack the testicular gland (or it is only vestigial, see text above) gonadal androgen levels in sneakers are equal to testis androgen levels, while in nest-holders gonadal androgen levels are the sum of testis plus testicular gland androgen levels. Comparison of gonadal androgen content between the two male alternative phenotypes showed that even if only the androgen concentrations of the nest-holder testis (which excludes the testicular gland) were compared to the whole gonad concentrations of the sneakers, nest-holder males had significantly higher levels of both KT and T than sneakers [KT testis concentrations (mean ± SEM): sneakers = 0.97 ± 0.65 ng/g tissue; nest-holders = 5.05 ± 0.60 ng/g tissue; Mann–Whitney *U* test, *P* < 0.01; T testis concentrations (mean ± SEM): sneakers = 1.19 ± 0.60 ng/g tissue; nest-holders = 13.75 ± 1.60 ng/g tissue; Mann–Whitney *U* test, *P* < 0.001]. Since the testicular gland is the main source of androgens in this species (Reinboth and Becker, 1986), and the androgen content of the testicular gland is even indicative of circulating androgen levels (correlation between testicular gland androgen levels and circulating levels: T: *n* = 10, *r* = 0.71, *P* = 0.02; KT: *n* = 10, *r* = 0.68, *P* = 0.03; Oliveira et al., 2001b), our results are a very conservative estimate of androgen production by nest-holders. If the testicular gland androgen concentrations of nest-holders were taken into account (mean ± SEM: KT: 33.9 ± 6.1 ng/g tissue; T: 116.2 ± 21.6 ng/g tissue) the difference to the sneakers would be more than 10-fold. Thus, in both species androgen levels in the wild support the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis.

In the case of the rock-pool blenny the males can be subdivided into four groups according to the behavioral tactic (see Table 1). Among bourgeois males that express fully the secondary sex characteristics two behavioral tactics can be recognized: nest-holders and floaters. Among parasitic males not all act as satellites to nest-holder males. Some behave like sneakers, trying to get parasitic fertilizations but not helping nest-holders to defend the breeding territory (Oliveira et al., 2001a). Interestingly, it was found that there were no significant differences in androgen levels (both KT and T) between behavioral tactics within alternative morphs (Oliveira et al., 2001a). This result illustrates the importance of carefully separating sexual polymorphism from behavioral alternative tactics when analyzing the underlying mechanisms of the phenomena. It also suggests that high KT
levels might be associated with the expression of the bourgeois morph but not with bourgeois typical behavior, since floater males do not defend nests and occasionally also try to enter nests to sneak fertilizations. However, significant differences in androgens between males using the different tactics have been also described in monandric species with ART (e.g., Mozambique tilapia, Oreochromis mossambicus; Oliveira and Almada, 1998a; Oliveira, Almada, and Canario, 1996). An alternative explanation for these otherwise apparently contradictory results is that the differences found between morphotypes in the case of the blennies and ethotypes in the case of the tilapia are not the cause of the ART but the reflection of different social challenges to which the different alternative phenotypes are exposed.

There is now overwhelming evidence not only that androgens modulate social interactions but also that androgen levels can be affected by social interactions, suggesting a two-way relationship between androgens and behavior. This has been interpreted as an adaptation for the individuals to adjust their behavior to changes in the social environment in which they are living. Thus, social interactions would stimulate the production of androgens and the levels of androgens would be a function of the stability of the social environment in which the animal is placed (“challenge hypothesis”; Wingfield, Hegner, Dufty, and Ball, 1990). Thus, it can be predicted that both population density and intrusion rate would increase circulating androgen levels in breeding male blenniids. Comparison of blood plasma levels of KT in nest-holder males of S. pavo and P. s. parvicornis showed that they are significantly higher in the former (Table 3). Moreover, in S. pavo KT plasma levels increase in the peak of the breeding season when there are more territorial intrusions by sneaker males (Oliveira et al., 2001b). In P. s. parvicornis a negative association between KT blood plasma levels of nest holders and egg fanning behavior, a major form of parental care in this species, was found, which is in keeping with a trade-off between parental care and high androgen levels (Oliveira et al., 2001a). Taken together these observations suggest that social modulation of androgen levels may be in action in the studied species and can be a confounding effect in interpretation of field data when testing the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis.

Moore and co-workers (1991) have recognized the limitations of this prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis due to the social modulation of sex steroids and stated that if a difference in hormone levels would be detected between adult alternative fixed phenotypes then it should be explained by different social experiences of the two morphs and not because of an activational role of the hormone on morph expression. Thus, a simple comparison of hormone levels of alternative phenotypes in the wild may be misleading and cannot be used alone to test conclusively the first prediction. In fact the social environment faced by alternative phenotypes will most probably be different in the majority of the cases, since from an evolutionary point of view ART must have precisely evolved as an alternative to competition among individuals of lower competitive ability (either conditional or frequency dependent) (Taborsky, 1999). Thus, at least the social status will probably vary between alternative phenotypes. In order to disentangle the causal relationship between androgen level variations among alternative phenotypes and their social environment, hormone measurements should be taken in standardized social contexts (even though if by manipulating the social environment one may be affecting the expression of the alternative morphs).

### TESTING THE RELATIVE PLASTICITY HYPOTHESIS IN BLENNIES: THE SECOND PREDICTION

To test the second prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis, exogenous androgen administration experiments were conducted in the two species (Oliveira et al., 2001b).
iveira, Carneiro, Canario, and Grober, 2001c; Oliveira, Carneiro, Gonçalves, Canario, and Grober, in press). Parasitic males were implanted with silastic tubes containing KT, 17α-methyltestosterone (MT, only in *P. s. parvicornis* or vehicle (i.e., control). Treated males were kept in isolation until tested. One week after implantation the parasitic males went through the following set of behavioral tests: (a) a mirror elicited aggression test; (b) a female introduced in their tank to test their male courtship behavior (typical of the bourgeois tactic); and (c) after the first two tests, which were conducted in the tank where the subjects had been isolated, the treated individuals were introduced into a tank containing a nest-holder male, two receptive females, and an empty nest to test which tactic the subject would adopt (i.e., keep behaving as a sneaker/satellite of the nest-holder present in the tank or establish its own nest and act as a nest-holder competing with the established bourgeois males to attract females). After this battery of behavioral tests the treated males were sacrificed and the level of expression of secondary sex characteristics as well as gonadal development were measured. A summary of the results for both species is shown in Table 4 (for further details see Oliveira *et al.*, 2001c, in press).

For both species androgens had a positive effect on the expression of male secondary characteristics, such as the anal glands and the genital papillae. In contrast, no effect of the androgen treatments was found in neither the development of the gonads or in the development of the testicular gland. In what concerns the behavioral response, KT proved to be effective in inhibiting the expression of female-like behavior in *S. pavo* sneakers but it failed to promote the expression of male behaviors. Both androgens had a negative effect in territorial aggression of *P. s. parvicornis* but only T promoted nesting behavior. Considering the nature of the satellite tactic, the negative effect of KT and T on territorial defence can be taken as an inhibition of its expression in the same way that nesting can be viewed as a sign of the expression of the bourgeois tactic. Thus, androgens seem to play a key role in reproductive tactic switching in blenniids.

But what provokes increased androgen levels in a natural situation? As mentioned above, androgens are elevated in response to social challenges in most vertebrates, including humans (Wingfield, Ball, Dufty, Hegner, and Ramenofsky, 1987; Oliveira, 1998; Oliveira and Almada, 1998b). Thus, mate tactic switching may be a rapid response to changes in social context, which involves induction of a variety of SCC by sex steroids, especially androgens. A possible hypothesis for the endocrine basis of ART differentiation, taking the example of the rock-pool blenny, is that if the nest-holder, which is dominant relative to the satellite, is removed this would dramatically alter the social interactions of the satellite and allow it to experience an increase in status. This increase in status would be translated in elevation of androgen levels. This would represent a social transduction mechanism for the organism to switch to the bourgeois tactic. This social transduction mechanism is quite similar to the acquisition of social dominance that follows male removal and stimulates sex change in a variety of sequential hermaphroditic fishes (e.g., Shapiro, 1981; Warner and Swearer, 1991; Reavis and Grober, 1999).

| TABLE 4 Effects of Androgens on the Morphology, Phenology, and Social Behavior of Blenniid Males with Alternative Reproductive Tactics (Parasitic Males) |
|---|---|---|
| Peacock blenny (*S. pavo*) | Rock-pool blenny (*P. s. parvicornis*) |
| **SSC** | KT | MT |
| Anal gland | ++ | + | ++ |
| Genital papillae | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Head crest | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Sexual behavior** | | | |
| Male-type courtship | 0 | 0 | — |
| Female-type courtship | — | NA | NA |
| Female nuptial coloration | (−) | NA | NA |
| Territorial aggression | 0 | 0 | — |
| Nesting | 0 | 0 | + |
| Sneaking | — | 0 | (−) |
| **Reproductive biology** | | | |
| Gonadal investment (GSI) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Testicular gland | 0 | 0 | 0 |

1 Legend: ++, strong positive effect (i.e., *P* < 0.01); +, moderate positive effect (i.e., *P* < 0.05); −, negative effect (*P* < 0.05); (−), marginal nonsignificant negative effect (i.e., *P* < 0.10); (+), marginal nonsignificant positive effect (i.e., *P* < 0.10); 0, no effect (i.e., *P* > 0.10); NA, not applicable to the species; SSC, secondary sexual characteristics; KT, 11-ketotestosterone; MT, methyltestosterone.
sequential alternative morphs (i.e., sneaker parasitic has never been tested in species with se-
tactic. To date the effect of hormone manipulations would prove insufficient to reverse the bourgeois experience by the alternative morphs. Thus, the observed differences must have been due to differences in social environment and reproductive function. Elevated androgen levels are a result of this process and function to induce and/or maintain a wide range of SCC in males.

The case of the ART and sexual polymorphism in blennies is a typical sequential plastic tactic. In a second generation of the relative flexibility hypothesis Moore and colleagues (Moore, Hews, and Knapp, 1998) extended the predictions of this hypothesis to accommodate the cases of irreversible plastic tactics in which one individual sequentially express the two reproductive tactics (i.e., first breeds as a sneaker and then as a bourgeois male). Since plastic irreversible species seem to represent an intermediate case between activation and organization, Moore et al. (1998) proposed that activation effects of hormones would be restricted to the period of tactic switching, during which the parasitic phenotype is reorganized into the bourgeois one. In other words this could be viewed as an organizational effect in adulthood, i.e., an irreversible reorganizational effect. This interpretation would have two consequences in terms of the predictions generated by the first-generation model. First, the observed differences in adult alternative morphs would have not been predicted because after the reorganization period different hormone levels would not be necessary to keep the alternative morphs. Thus, the observed differences must have been due to differences in social environment experienced by the alternative morphs. Second, it would be predicted that hormone manipulations would prove insufficient to reverse the bourgeois tactic. To date the effect of hormone manipulations on the bourgeois tactic to test if it can be reversed to parasitic has never been tested in species with sequential alternative morphs (i.e., sneaker → bourgeois, e.g., blennies).

THE SOCIAL TRANSDUCTION HYPOTHESIS

One goal of the relative plasticity hypothesis was to mold the organization/activation model (Phoenix et al., 1959) into a form that would explain the sexual variability inherent in all of the vertebrates rather than just the mammals. Unfortunately, the bulk of the data from the largest and most sexually diverse clade of vertebrates—the teleost fish—are not consistent with the predictions of the relative plasticity hypothesis.

Apart from the inconsistencies of the results with bothoom blennies, previous work with sexually polymorphic fish species also violate the predictions of the relative plasticity hypothesis. Both predictions are violated by Bass’s work on the plainfin midshipman, P. notatus (for a review see Bass, 1996). These fish exhibit fixed alternative phenotypes, but the two adult male morphs have different KT levels (Brantley et al., 1993). Moreover, treatment with KT can induce some bourgeois-typical changes in parasitic males’ SCC. Thus, the “activational” effects of steroids seem to be trait specific and it does not appear that androgen treatment regularly induces the entire suite of bourgeois male traits. In the stoplight parrotfish, Sparisoma viride, a species with flexible alternative phenotypes, the alternative males have lower androgen levels than bourgeois males (Cardwell and Liley, 1991a; in keeping with the relative plasticity hypothesis), but individuals are sensitive to steroid hormones early in life (Cardwell and Liley, 1991b), which is not consistent with Moore’s (1991) predictions. Finally, several studies in fish and other vertebrates (see above) showed that the differences in steroid levels between male morphs may be a result of their recent social interactions rather than a mechanism that drives the transformation between morphs. This suggests that androgens represent one component of a complex sexual transformation that is ultimately controlled by the central nervous system.

An alternative explanation for intrasexual polymorphism is social regulation of neuroendocrine development (Francis, 1992; Grober, 1998; see Fig. 1). This concept can explain the variety of alternative reproductive tactics expressed by fish, ranging from multiple males to adult sex change. Earlier/more rapid development of the nonterritorial male morphology is a characteristic of all fish with alternative morphs, whether fixed or flexible (wrasses, parrotfish, blennies, gobies, toadfish, salmonids, and poeciliids). Even in fish with fixed morphs, early social experience may determine which developmental trajectory is taken by
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