

Chapter 1: Lecture 13

1. NOETHER NORMALIZATION

Let R be a ring and $0 \neq f \in R[x]$ be a polynomial. We say that f is essentially monic if its leading coefficient is invertible in R . If $0 \neq f \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ then we say that f is essentially monic in x_n if it is essentially monic as an element of $A[x_n]$ where $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$.

Theorem 1.1 (Noether's normalization). *Let A be a finitely generated k -algebra where k is a field. Then there exists x_1, \dots, x_n in A such that x_1, \dots, x_n are algebraically independent over k and $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq A$ is module-finite.*

Proof. Let $A = k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$. If $m = 0$ we are done. Assume $m > 0$. We will prove the statement by induction on m .

If y_1, \dots, y_m are algebraically independent over k we are done. Assume that there exists a polynomial $f \in k[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$ such that $f(y_1, \dots, y_m) = 0$.

Let $z_i = y_i - y_1^{r_i}$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$. Then $f(y_1, z_2 + y_1^{r_2}, \dots, z_m + y_1^{r_m}) = 0$.

If we take $r_2 < r_3 < \dots < r_m$ sufficiently large then there exists $g \in k[z_2, \dots, z_m][y_1]$ essentially monic such that

$$0 = f(y_1, z_2 + y_1^{r_2}, \dots, z_m + y_1^{r_m}) = g(y_1).$$

This implies that y_1 is integral over $R = k[z_2, \dots, z_m]$ and since $y_i = z_i + y_1^{r_i}$, $i = 2, \dots, m$, we get that A is integral over R . Since A is a finitely generated R -algebra we get that A is module-finite over R .

But R has $m - 1$ k -algebra generators so there exists x_1, \dots, x_n in R such that x_1, \dots, x_n are algebraically independent over k and $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq R$ is module-finite.

But since A is module-finite over R we get, by transitivity, that A is module-finite over $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

□

2. HILBERT NULSTELLENSATZ

Theorem 2.1. (*Zariski's Lemma*) *If $k \subseteq R$ is a finitely generated k -algebra with R a field, then $k \subseteq R$ is a finite algebraic extension. Furthermore, if $k = \bar{k}$, then $k = R$.*

Proof. Using Noether normalization, let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$, algebraically independent over k , be such that $A = k[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n] \hookrightarrow R$ is module-finite. But $A \hookrightarrow R$ is integral, so $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\} = \emptyset$, since $\dim(A) = \dim(R) = 0$. This implies $A = k$, so $k \hookrightarrow R$ is module-finite, and thus algebraic. \square

Remark 2.2. *Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in k^n$. Then there is a surjective homomorphism $f_\lambda : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k$ that takes $x_i \mapsto \lambda_i$. Then $\ker f_\lambda = \{f \in R \mid f(\lambda) = 0\}$, and if $m_\lambda = (x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n) \in \text{Max}(R)$, then $m_\lambda \subseteq \ker f_\lambda$ implies $m_\lambda = \ker f_\lambda$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where $k = \bar{k}$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R$. Then either $(f_1, \dots, f_m) = R$ or $\exists \lambda \in k^n$ such that $f_i(\lambda) = 0$ for all i .*

Proof. Assume $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \neq R$. Then $\exists \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$ such that $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Then $k \hookrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$ and R/\mathfrak{m} is a finitely generated k -algebra. Then by the Zariski's Lemma, $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. So $\bar{x}_i \in R/\mathfrak{m} = k$ and hence $\exists \lambda_i \in k$ such that $\bar{x}_i = \lambda_i \in R/\mathfrak{m}$. This implies $\lambda_i - x_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ so $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n) = m_\lambda$ because $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$. But $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \mathfrak{m} = m_\lambda$ which implies $f_i(\lambda) = 0$ for all i . \square

Remark 2.4. *If $k = \bar{k}$ then all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$ are of the form m_λ for some $\lambda \in k^n$. So, there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\text{Max}(R)$ and the points of k^n .*

Theorem 2.5. *Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, with $k = \bar{k}$, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between algebraic sets in k^n and radical ideals of R , where $X \mapsto I(X)$ and $Z(J) \mapsto J$.*

Proof. Let $J \leq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $k = \bar{k}$. Then $Z(J) = \{x \in k^n : f(x) = 0, \forall f \in J\}$. If $Y \subseteq k^n$, then $I(Y) = \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f|_Y = 0\}$. We can assume that $J = \text{Rad}(J)$ since $I(Z(J)) = I(Z(\text{Rad}(J)))$. Let $J = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, then $I(Z(J)) = \{f : f|_{Z(J)} = 0\}$. We would like to show that if $f \in I(Z(J))$ then $f \in \text{Rad}(J)$. We claim that if f vanishes where all of the f_1, \dots, f_m do, then $\exists n$ such that $f^n \in J = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and $S = R[z]$. Let $f_0 = 1 - zf \in S$. Then f_0, \dots, f_m do not vanish simultaneously. By the weak Hilbert Nullstellensatz, $\exists G_0, \dots, G_m \in S$ such that $1 = G_0(x, z)f_0 + \dots + G_m(x, z)f_m$. Let $z = \frac{1}{f}$. Then

$$1 = G_1(x, \frac{1}{f})f_1 + \dots + G_m(x, \frac{1}{f})f_m = \frac{g_1(x)}{f^{N_1}} + \dots + \frac{g_m(x)}{f^{N_m}}.$$

We can amplify the fractions to have the same power of f in the denominators, and obtain $1 = (\sum \lambda_i g_i f_i) f^{-N}$, which implies $f^N = \sum \lambda_i g_i f_i \in J$. \square

Theorem 2.6 (Going-down Theorem). *Let $R \subset S$ be an integral extension of domains such that R is normal. Let*

$$P_1 \subsetneq P_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq P_n$$

be a chain of prime ideals in R . Let Q_0 be a prime ideal of S lying over P_0 . Then there exists a chain of prime ideals in S

$$Q_0 \subsetneq Q_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Q_n$$

such that $Q_i \cap R = P_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.