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The minutes of the November 15, 2002 meeting were approved as distributed.

The spring enrollment report is showing some errors with Statware in the class standing/level categories. Mike thinks it might be a source code error with Banner. Credit hours are not affected. Credit hours are up, which is good news considering the budget situation. Bill states that anything that can be done to keep credit hours up would be good. The University is facing another 2% cut on its entire budget totaling $5 ½ million of which $3 million is not covered. The numbers can change. All Vice Presidents and Deans have been asked to come up with a plan to cut $2-3 million.

The freshmen applicant by fiscal year report reflects a step increases in freshman applications. Diane cautioned that before spring the numbers did not include nontraditionals. Diane will e-mail the definition of nontraditional to Mike and he will try to restructure the old information by adding back the nontraditional number to past fiscal years to get a more accurate comparison.

The report on transfer applicants by fiscal year report puts GSU in the class of the largest university in transfer processing. Bill thinks GSU takes in too many transfers and hopes to reach some stability with transfer numbers.

In response to a query from Cherise, she will track the historical data for reentry applications for the past ten years and look at the trend at other Universities regarding reentry fees. Looking at UGA, Tech and other national universities Cherise, Diane and Joann will form a subcommittee to consider whether GSU should have a fee for reactivation and/or reentry. The subcommittee will bring a proposal to EMG.

Cherise reported on the SIRS reporting issues. Type 1, currently enrolled students have 150 unique errors. Type 2 (active this term) and G (graduated) have 3900 errors. The BOR states GSU has to fix the errors related to GPA, Cum GPA and GPA hours. The BOR will allow GSU to suppress certain fields for this term only to get the report done. This will not be a permanent suppression. This approach, focusing on the Type 1 records, would at best cost GSU enrollment 150 students for reporting purposes which would mean tuition money, but not workload money. Bill hopes to tighten up adding students after census.

The SIRS reporting has to be done in order to do end of term processing. The goal is to focus on getting the Type 1 errors cleaned up. Cherise reported the Type 2 and G errors did not appear to be too difficult to clean up.

Tim presented the Degree Audit Team’s CAPP proposal. The two issues appear to be a resource issue and a timeline issue. To get the same level of functionality as PACE would require some baseline and Web For modifications. Bill suggests having conversations with SCT and OIIT regarding the conversion of quarter hours to semester hours on a semester
catalog compliance. The Board of Regents will cost share the two consultants needed and an additional UIS resource will also be necessary.

Members will be added and the Team’s name changed to Degree Audit/CAPP Implementation Team and will include representatives from the Registrar’s Office, Admissions and an UIS resource.

It was agreed to move away from bringing up a web version of PACE, since the timeline for CAPP implementation is a year from next fall, Fall 04.

Consensus was reached with the proposal. CAPP will be added as an upcoming project on the system support priority check list. Cherise will discuss with Larry Pankey the status of maintenance type issues.

Joann was directed to go to her UIS lead programmer regarding the pilot direct deposit program’s move to the next phase.

Cherise gave an update on the online evaluation process. The initial response rate report included the number of students who had withdrawn before the midpoint. The responses are being recalculated and a real response rate will be resent. Cherise indicated she had spoken with Glenn Abney and faculty are expecting a 40-45 percent response return rate.

Bill asked that Jeff get the word out to students that the new online evaluation system is more secure than the old system. Carolyn reported a technical issue with CRNs not being restricted by college. Bill reported it is important that the on-line evaluation system works to circumvent a faculty initiative to continue the paper system. He stated there is a strong move in the Senate to maintain a dual system.

Jonette will be sending out an update on academic history conversion to the KD Group.

The DBA’s were present to get agreement on the Banner 5.5 upgrade. Chila stated they planned to start with the Georgia Mods in BQA on Sunday, December 15 and as soon as functional leaders have looked at and blessed, will be moved to BPROD. Banner will not be available on December 15.

The Banner 5.5 Upgrade will be moved to BDEV on December 16. Deidre will take Banner down on Sunday, December 29 from noon until noon on Monday, December 30. Deidre will send an email when she’s finished. Functional users should sign off in BQA on Monday after noon.

Chila will send an announcement of the dates Banner will be down to the KD Group and post an announcement on the web that Banner will be down for system maintenance.