July 10, 2002
Dear Graduate Students,

Once again the summer finds a good many people finishing their degree programs, including five Ph.D.s: Kim Bell (Medieval Literature), Michelle Eble (Rhet/Comp), Sandy Matthews (Rhet/Comp), René Saldana (Fiction), and Mohammed Sharaf (American Romanticism). All of these good people have jobs and, because of their dissertation projects, excellent career opportunities ahead of them.

Building a career truly starts in graduate school, and it is important to remember that your goal is not to remain a graduate student but to set out on a road to be the best teacher, departmental colleague, writer, and scholar that you can become. One thing I have learned in the last two years is that there are many ways to translate or construe experience as achievement, but these transformations require, of course, that you make more of your experience than simply experience. All who go out into the academic job market have essentially identical experience: they have all taken classes, written papers, taught courses, passed examinations, and organized and completed a substantial dissertation. But what can you claim as “achievement” in the course of such experience? You can make your teaching duties demonstrably effective for the students you teach. You might make original judgments and discoveries in your course work. You could revise and publish essays derived from class papers and even from later reflection on texts, cultural matters, or your own personal experience. You could write an astute review of an important new book. And you can perceive that your dissertation project opens a door into a series of interesting and valuable projects that you will have to pass on to other students because you cannot possibly live long enough to do them all.

But what about one of the most important credentials in getting a job? Most departments are very much aware that they are hiring a colleague with whom they hope to work productively for many years. Can you provide evidence that you are a good citizen in the academic community where you have trained? I think you can, especially if you have worked voluntarily in the many projects that our Graduate English Association has created and hopes to carry out each year, such as: book sales that raise money for the annual essay and creative writing cash prizes; the organization of informal seminars on taking examinations, attending conferences, publishing papers, writing the dissertation, and entering the job market; and the management of its annual graduate student conference. There are other ways to involve yourself in the life of the department, sometimes through holding a GRA that brings you in touch with several faculty members and other students. I hope you will all find some time to help with some of these projects, though I know how limited your free time can be. I promise you that it will be worth the effort.

If you’ve prowled the department this summer you may have noticed the renovations going on in the space formerly occupied by the Writing Cen-
ter. Workmen have just this week put up a wall
that creates a very nice, large, window-lighted
space for a Commons Room where graduate
students and faculty can actually have sufficient
space to have conversations over coffee or bag
lunches. Thus, we have not lost the kind of cen-
ter for conversation that the old Writing Cen-
ter's round table represented; instead, I hope we
have gained a space where students and faculty
can have somewhat more opportunity for colle-
giality. If you have ideas about this space, let me
know. Doing so productively will, in fact, be
one of those résumé items that could show
another department that you are indeed a
promising colleague as well as a promising
teacher.

On the same topic, most of you know that
professional associations and author societies
often offer less expensive memberships to
active graduate students. Joining sever-
al of these, lurking on their web sites,
attending their meetings, and read-
ing their journals is another way to
show both professional achieve-
ment and potential for being a good
colleague. Some of the author soci-
eties give grants for students to pres-
ent papers at major conferences, and
many associations and societies provide cash
prizes and valuable professional recognition for
papers published in their journals. You should
spend a certain amount of time each month
walking the journal stacks in the GSU and
Emory libraries, perusing journals to find out
about such opportunities and also to keep
informed about changes in scholarship and
scholarly writing.

The Modern Language Association has in
recent years directed a good bit of attention to
the problems graduate students in literature
face on the job market, and, while I think there
are some flaws in the MLAs philosophy about
job markets, I want to pass on a summary of
remarks sent out to MLA members by the well-
known scholar and the organization's president,
Stephen Greenblatt. Greenblatt is urging MLA
members to educate promotion and tenure
committees at their institutions regarding the
current difficulty young scholars may have in
publishing books derived from their disserta-
tions or even their later research. "We are con-
cerned," he writes, "because people who have
spent years of professional training—our stu-
dents, our colleagues—are at risk. Their careers
are in jeopardy, and higher education stands to
lose, or at least severely to damage, a generation
of young scholars." The causes of this are sev-
eral, he argues, and many are economic. With
current budget restraints on many campuses,
venues for publications have in some cases
diminished; library budgets have been cut so
that university presses, also under budget con-
straints, frequently feel they cannot publish as
many books as they would prefer. The wide-
spread practice in promotion and tenure
decisions of depending upon a “book”
as an absolute requirement for job
security and advancement in the
profession, Greenblatt points out,
leaves many young scholars in jeop-
ardy against an inflexible deadline,
“no matter how strong or serious
their scholarly achievement, because
academic presses simply cannot afford to
publish their books.”

Greenblatt urges departments to reconsider
their promotion policies, one facet of which
would be to cease depending upon the referees
of university presses to evaluate candidates for
tenure and take upon ourselves, as faculty, the
kind of consideration that would fairly access a
young scholar's promise.

Greenblatt's perception of problems in the
profession, like many that attract MLAs atten-
tion, is very much restricted to a very small part
of the job market: the research university,
which makes up about nine per cent of Ameri-
can institutions of higher learning and repre-
sents about thirty per cent of the job market.
Seventy per cent of the job market is in two-
and four-year institutions, where the major cri-
tera for advancement are almost universally
good teaching, good academic citizenship, and a strong interest in students. These institutions do not discourage scholarship and creativity, but they also do not use the outside evaluation of faculty in refereed situations as the only means of evaluating a prospective colleague. Students who have graduated with Ph.D.s from our department who have taken jobs in such institutions seem truly happy and have had very rewarding careers, without many of the pressures of the research institution. They have not given up keeping current in their fields, but they have given up the somewhat deleterious division of labor that exists in research departments. They have in some cases re-invented themselves; they have taken students on exciting trips afield; they have started magazines and new sub-programs, all frequently outside the specialties on which they have written dissertations. I frequently find their careers enviable.

I mention all this to suggest realism and common sense in expectations for your eventual job search and career planning. I have very similar feelings about taking the Ph.D. into the secondary school system, which many of our excellent students often do; they also have rewarding, interesting careers, good colleagues, a place in a real educational community, and a variety of opportunities to re-invent themselves and change the lives of young people.

I wish all of you a pleasant summer, which I hope includes some leisure for wider reading, and another excellent year.

All best,
Tom McHaney
Director of Graduate Studies

News & Notes

Georgia State University's Creative Writing Program made a strong showing at the Agnes Scott competition this year: Katie Chapel won for poetry; Gregg Johnson, Heather Russel, and Mike Watchey were fiction finalists. Each finalist's story was published in this year's festival magazine.

Ernelle Fife is attending the four-week "Medicine, Literature, and Culture" Summer Institute, hosted by Pennsylvania's Hershey Medical Center and College of Medicine. The Institute, which is sponsored by a grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities, includes six to eight hours of discussion and classes each day, five days a week, with additional "clinicals," during which each student may attend case conferences, lectures, or Grand Rounds. With the right wind, Ernie says, she can smell chocolate in the air.

The Agnes Scott Writer's Festival has selected Andrew Hill's story, "Fear of Niggers," as winner in its fiction category.

Stuart Noel, faculty member at Georgia Perimeter College, presented in April at The University System of Georgia's 26th Annual Learning Support Conference. Noel also presented at the Georgia Conference on College and University Teaching in February at Kennesaw State University. This summer, he will be teaching in London (Study Abroad) at the University of Surrey-Roehampton for five weeks.

Heather Russel's short story, "Watch," was a finalist in the 2002 Agnes Scott Writers' Festival Contest. Another of Russel's short stories, "The Save," was a finalist for the Raymond Carver Short Story Award, and was published in Cave Magazine (Volume 3, Number 2; March 2002).

"Claire Summers' paper, 'The Establishment Prevails: Guyon's Destruction of the Bower of Bliss,' argued that the falseness of the Bower contradicts a specific 'Christian' ideal that pervades Guyon's consciousness: nature must be divinely created and subsequently, regenerative. Yet, the Bower is not only expert artifice; it exists for pleasure for its own sake.... However, although the Bower consists of artificial naturalism and only simulates the regenerative powers of nature, it remains a closed, plastic system and a harmless anomaly.... Guyon's intrusion is gratuitous because the Bower of Bliss will never contain progressive action in the normal
sense[;] it is a separate, disembodied system that exists only for its own purposes and poses no threat to those willing to forego it.”


Stewart Whittemore received the 2002 Society for Technical Communication Teaching Fellowship for Practicing Professionals for teaching Business Writing.

GEA Listserv

Remember to subscribe to the Graduate English Association's listserv by sending an email message with a blank subject line to: <listproc@sphinx.gsu.edu>

In the body of the message, write, “subscribe GEA-L <your name>. If you do not include your name, you will receive an error message. Once you are on the list, you may post messages by addressing them to <GEA-L@listproc.gsu.edu>.

The department regularly posts job announcements, conference notices, information about poetry and fiction readings, and other things of interest to graduate students.

Fall 2002 Course Descriptions

FOLK 6020, America's Folk Crafts (MW 5:30–6:45 p.m.) Dr. Burrison

This is the least literary of the courses in our Folklore Curriculum, representing the 1970s shift in American folklore study's emphasis from oral literature to material culture. For those of you wishing a refreshing break from your mainstream studies, this may be a good thing, but the course still has a literary application: identification of vernacular architecture, crafts, and food traditions in American literature (e.g., Herman Melville's description of the whaling folk art of scrimshaw in Moby Dick). Illustrated with examples of folk artifacts as well as slides and videos, this is also the most philosophical and theoretical of the Folk courses, with much time devoted to defining folk art and relationships among the folk, popular, and academic streams of our culture.

ENGL 8894: The Child and Family in Modern Literature (Th 4:30–7:00 p.m.) Dr. Chase

In this course we will examine the varied and changing representations of family relations (parent-child, couples, siblings, and traditional and nontraditional familial networks) in selected twentieth-century novels and essays. The course also includes a general introduction to the range of critical studies devoted to understanding the vast fascination with childhood as portrayed in fiction. In reading these texts we will draw from psychological and family systems theories and from the work of historians and social critics in exploring themes such as loyalty, responsibility, trust and betrayal, attachment, belonging, abuse and neglect, alcoholism, autonomy, and intimacy. We will read works by Henry James, J. D. Salinger, Toni Morrison, Joyce Carol Oates, Michael Cunningham, and others. Films, personal family stories, and published memoirs will help us address this topic of modern and postmodern family life in a vital and interesting way.

Let Us Know

Has your mailing address, telephone number, or email address changed recently? If so, please call or email Tammy Cole with the updated information: 404-651-2900; engtsc@gsu.edu.
Spring 2002 Degree Recipients

Christy Lakeisha Harris, MA
Crystal Starr Hobart, MA
Erik Juergensmeyer, MA
Jennifer Thomson Lawrence, MA
David Robert Marado, MA
Laura Ann Marshburn, MA
Brandon Clay Rogers, MA
Laura Lynn Shauger, MA
Michael Kenneth Sugarman, MA
David Grant Thomson, MA
Natalie Collins Trice, MA
Marne Lynne Vanyo, MA
Sharon McCune, MFA
Michael Watchey, MFA
Jonathan Richard Hershey, PhD

Exam Dates

MA: Saturday, October 5, 2002, 9:00 a.m., Troy Moore Library
PhD/MFA Specialist: Saturday, October 19, 2002, 9:00 a.m., Troy Moore Library
PhD/MFA Non-specialist: Saturday, October 26, 2002, 9:00 a.m., Troy Moore Library

Projected Fall 2002 Course Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGL</th>
<th>Comp. #</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Day(s)</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>81254</td>
<td>BIBLIO AND RESEARCH METHODS</td>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>04:00pm-5:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8020</td>
<td>81255</td>
<td>POETRY WRITING</td>
<td>Bottoms</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>09:00am-11:30am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8030</td>
<td>81256</td>
<td>FICTION WRITING</td>
<td>Joseph, S.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>04:30pm-07:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8060</td>
<td>83659</td>
<td>LITERARY CRITICISM</td>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>01:00pm-02:15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8065</td>
<td>83660</td>
<td>FOUND OF MODERN CRITICL THEORY</td>
<td>Thomas, C.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>07:15pm-09:45pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8100</td>
<td>81257</td>
<td>DIRECTED INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH</td>
<td>McHaney, T.</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8115</td>
<td>83651</td>
<td>TECHNICAL WRITING</td>
<td>Gu</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>11:00am-12:15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8123</td>
<td>83652</td>
<td>DIGITAL RHETORIC</td>
<td>Pullman</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>08:00am-09:15am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8125</td>
<td>83650</td>
<td>WRITING &amp; RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</td>
<td>Lopez</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>01:00pm-02:15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8180</td>
<td>83798</td>
<td>COMPOSITION THEORY</td>
<td>Gaillet</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>09:00am-11:30am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8230</td>
<td>83669</td>
<td>AMERICAN ENGLISH</td>
<td>Zeigler</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>05:30pm-06:45pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8250</td>
<td>83643</td>
<td>MIDDLE ENGLISH</td>
<td>Lightsey</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>02:30pm-03:45pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8310</td>
<td>83666</td>
<td>EARLY &amp; MIDDLE 17TH-C ENG LIT</td>
<td>Dobranski</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>09:30am-10:45am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8360</td>
<td>83667</td>
<td>SHAKESPEARE, EARLIER WORK</td>
<td>Hirsh</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>07:15pm-08:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8430</td>
<td>83671</td>
<td>LATER 18TH-CENTURY LIT</td>
<td>Snow</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>11:00am-12:15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8650</td>
<td>83670</td>
<td>19TH-CENTURY BRITISH FICTION</td>
<td>Richardson</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>05:30pm-06:45pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8750</td>
<td>83653</td>
<td>20TH-CENTURY ENGLISH PROSE</td>
<td>Malamud</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>12:00pm-01:15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8810</td>
<td>83654</td>
<td>AMERICAN POETRY 20TH CENTURY</td>
<td>Gylys</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>07:15pm-08:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8840</td>
<td>85016</td>
<td>AMERICAN REAL &amp; NAT, 1865-1914</td>
<td>Gabler-Hover</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>01:30pm-02:45pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8860</td>
<td>83663</td>
<td>MODERN AMER FICT, 1900-1945</td>
<td>Carr</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>04:30pm-07:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8870</td>
<td>83672</td>
<td>CONT AMER FIC, 1946-PRESENT</td>
<td>Denard</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>07:15pm-09:45pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8894</td>
<td>83665</td>
<td>T CHILD &amp; FAMILY IN MODERN LIT</td>
<td>Chase</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>04:30pm-07:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8900</td>
<td>83655</td>
<td>T THE WRITING CENTER</td>
<td>Singer</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>01:00pm-03:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8999</td>
<td>81258</td>
<td>THESIS RESEARCH</td>
<td>Roudané</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9030</td>
<td>81259</td>
<td>NON-THESIS INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH</td>
<td>Roudané</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOLK</td>
<td>6020</td>
<td>AMERICA'S FOLK CRAFTS</td>
<td>Burrison</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>5:30pm-6:45pm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>