PRESENT: Scott Burke, Allison Calhoun-Brown, Pedro Carrillo, David Cheshier, Patrick Freer, Dena Freeman-Patton, Olga Jarrett, Colleen Joyce, Christopher Kocela, Peter Lindsay, Frances McCarty, Felisha Norrington, Joe Perry, Cherise Peters, Chester Phillips, Jeff Qin, George Rainbolt, Tim Renick, Bob Sattelmeyer, Christine Skwiot, Rebecca Stout, Brian Thoms, Gertrude Tinker-Sachs, Winnie Tsang-Kosma, David Washburn, Shelley-Ann Williams, Yongsheng Xu

GUESTS: Larry Heath, Jr. and Allison Renyi, Student Government Association

Minutes of the meeting of the April 20, 2010
The minutes of the April 20, 2010 meeting were approved as distributed.

Visits to new buildings: Petit Science Center, Interest Housing, Newly purchased hotels.
Based on the number of members interested, George Rainbolt will arrange a tour to visit Georgia State’s new buildings, including the Petit Science Center, Interest Housing and the new dormitory, formerly the Wyndam Hotel and renamed Piedmont North.

Report on Fall 2010 enrollments and retention, Tim Renick.
Dr. Timothy Renick presented the Fall 2010 enrollment numbers. Retention is not calculated until census. Across the board, Georgia State had record enrollment numbers including freshman class, number of transfer students, and credit hours. He invited everyone to visit the newly renovated One Stop Shop which expanded from three to eight stations and a waiting area. The Mega One Stop Shop was set up in the Ballroom and between August 18 and August 27, saw 6,974 students.

Academic Honesty Subcommittee
Peter Lindsey reported on the Academic Honesty Subcommittee. The Academic Honesty Subcommittee was charged with the issue of exploring and implementing an honor code at Georgia State. In looking at Honor Codes at other universities, the Subcommittee discovered that the most robust were ones in which the procedures after an academic honesty is recorded, the judicial hearings and punishment are all run by students. Peter met with Rebecca Stout and students from the Student Government Association (SGA) to discuss their involvement in developing an Honor Code. The Subcommittee hopes to have a proposal for a student run Academic Honesty Committee and Honor Code from the SGA for consideration at the Spring Senate meeting

Motion on Admissions Appeals
The motion on admissions appeals was approved.

Effectively immediately
Motion:
The University-Wide Student Policy and Procedures for Student Complaints, Petitions for Policy Waivers and Variances, and Appeals is modified as follows:
Additions  Deletions

4. Admissions Appeals (Appeals of Rules Currently in Section 1100 of the Catalog)
   a. Appeals of denial of admission and for waivers of the admissions rules will be made in the first instance by the Director of Admissions.
   b. If the petition is denied, the student may appeal to the Admissions Appeals Committee, a subcommittee of the Senate Committee on Admissions and Standards. The student must appeal in writing and within 10 business days of being notified of the decision of the Director of Admissions.
   c. The Director of Admissions will copy the Chair of the Admissions Appeals Committee on all letters to students notifying them of results of their petitions. Every semester, the Director of Admissions will distribute to the Senate Committee on Admissions and Standards a report that indicates (at a minimum) the number of petitions filed, the number granted, and the number denied. This report will cover all admissions petitions, including those considered by the Special Talents Committee. Any member of Admissions and Standards may review the documents of any petition when there is a legitimate educational interest.
   d. This policy does not change the Special Talents policy or the policy on admissions discipline review.

9. Subsequent Appeals
   a. The student may appeal the decisions of the Admissions Appeals Committee, Financial Aid Appeals Committee, the Registration Appeals Committee, and the Academic Regulations Appeals Committee to the Associate Provost for Academic Programs in writing within 10 business days of being notified of the decision. The Associate Provost will respond in writing to the appeal within 20 business days of receiving the appeal. The decisions of the Admissions Appeals Committee may not be appealed.

Rationale:
While BOR policy requires that all students be able to appeal up through the University to the BOR, this policy does not apply to applicants (because they are not students until they enroll). This streamlining of the policy will allow for faster responses and reduce workload.

Motion on Transfer Credit While on Suspension or Exclusion
The motion on transfer credit while on suspension or exclusion was approved as amended.

Effective Immediately

Motion:
The following sentence is added to the Academic Honesty Policy:

Penalties to be Imposed
Penalties to be imposed in incidents of academic dishonesty are classified as academic or disciplinary. Academic penalties include such sanctions as assignment of a failing grade for a particular course requirement, or for the course itself, or for other tests or program assignments. They are set by the faculty member. Disciplinary sanctions can be sought in addition to those considered academic and could include, but are not limited to, the following penalties:
suspension, expulsion, transcript annotations (temporary for a period of five years or permanent, as designated). Course credit earned at other institutions while on suspension or exclusion may not be transferred to GSU. Disciplinary penalties can be requested by the faculty member, in consultation with the chair; they must be reviewed by the University Senate Committee on Student Discipline and they are set by the Provost.

**Rationale**
The University has received requests from students who have been suspended, attend another institution for the semester of suspension, and then seek to transfer those credits back. Current policy does not say that this is not allowed. It seems that it should be prohibited because this practice makes suspension into a requirement that a student transfer for, say, a semester.

The Student Life Committee is in the process of adding similar language to the Student Code of Conduct.

**Review of Strategic Plan Goals**
Dr. Allison Calhoun-Brown presented the summary of the goals and implementation strategies of the University’s Strategic Plan. A tentative timeline includes a first draft out to the University community within the next month or so, meeting forums and presentation to the Senate in December.

**Recorded by:**
Wanda F. Taylor