Members Attending: Pam Barr, Ron Henry, Hugh Hudson, Steve Kaminshine, Susan Kelley, John Medlock, Jerry Rackliffe, P.C. Tai

Others Attending: Lauren Adamson, Beth Jones, Carmen Newton, George Pullman, Tim Renick, Edgar Torbert, Mary Beth Walker

The minutes of April 17, 2009, were approved with corrections of the attendance lists.

Dr. Pullman presented the recommendations of the Student Technology Fee Subcommittee of the University Senate Committee on Information Systems and Technology for FY2010 allocations of the fee revenues. Dr. Tai called attention to the section of the report dealing with potential economies from implementing a virtual server environment. Dr. Tai made a motion to approve the recommendations of the committee. Dr. Kelley seconded the motion. The motion passed.

Dr. Tai suggested FACP formally acknowledge receipt of the report circulated earlier from the University Senate Committee on Budget. Dr. Hudson summarized the report as urging furloughs and RIFs occur only after considering all other options. Dr. Tai made a motion to acknowledge the report. Dr. Barr seconded the motion. The motion passed.

Dr. Hudson commented on the set-aside, pre-allocated FY2011 funds in the amount of $2.5M to address initiatives identified by Dr. Becker and the desirability of knowing where these funds would be going as decisions were made about allocating other funds. Dr. Rackliffe cautioned these funds were not in the original budget, and the set-aside essentially meant no new funds would then be allocated during the next budget cycle for FY2011. Dr. Henry noted indications Dr. Becker would be supportive of Areas of Focus, research infrastructure, and pre-campaign enhancement of development.

Dr. Hudson inquired about any guidelines from the Board of Regents regarding use of Special Institutional Fee revenues. Dr. Rackliffe replied the projected revenues would be aside in the Provost budget for allocation later.

Dr. Tai asked about the outlook for enrollments. Dr. Renick reported a strong registration for summer with 15.5K headcount and 120k credit hours. He also reported positive signs for meeting enrollment goals for fall. Dr. Kaminshine asked about the reason for not having an intent-to-enroll deposit. Dr. Renick responded INCEPT participation and intent-to-enroll cards were equally effective predicting who would actually enroll. He added the economy did not make this a good time to implement an additional fee.

Dr. Tai asked about summer opportunities for scholarship recipients entering in the fall. Dr. Renick indicated willingness to work with such students. Dr. Kaminshine added the College of Law might have opportunities in its legal clinic for students with an interest in pre-law.
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