# Agenda

## ISAT

**In Attendance:**
- J. L. Albert
- John Bandy
- Tim Bartness
- Ed Bullwinkel
- Mary Jane Casto
- Tiffanee Cato
- David Cheshier
- Paula Christopher
- Susan Easterbrooks
- Nancy Floyd
- Carol Grantham
- Steve Harmon
- Chip Hill
- Yu-Sheng Hsu
- Susan Laury
- Guy Leach
- Cherise Peters
- George Pullman
- Mark Roberson
- Andrey Shilnikov
- Andrew Sumner
- Ellen Taylor
- Elizabeth Throop
- Eboni Walker
- Raymond Lanier

1) The August 17 minutes were approved.

2) Student Technology Fee 2008 documents were distributed prior to the meeting in order for the committee to review them. Eboni stated that there were only minor differences reflecting new dates and a new contact person for Facilities. Kim Bauer will be replaced by Chris Hughes. He is the Construction Project Manager, Sr.

JL explained that the FY06 STF account was over budgeted by approximately a quarter million dollars due to the fact that FY06 tech fee revenues were down. The wireless enhancement project was to have been funded at about $300K based on a decision made at the FY07 Tech Fee Subcommittee orientation meeting. Mark Roberson is continuing to work with vendors to expand the upgrade/replacement for wireless. JL assured the committee that the shortfall will be covered, one of two ways. One way is to enhance revenue with increased enrollment. Another way is with swept money. Note that this year's tech fee funding may be over budgeted too. The Student Technology Fees were increased by only $10, rather than the requested amount of $25, but the STF committee allocated as though the entire proposed increase was received. To ensure the maximum use of the possibly limited funds, all expenditures for FY07 tech fee awards must be encumbered by the December deadline. Any and all remaining funds will be swept on January 2, 2007, no exceptions.

Discussion ensued as to how the Student Technology Fee came into being. This proposal was originally introduced in the Senate Information Systems and Technology Committee in May, 1997. In June, 1997, the Committee endorsed the concept of a technology fee. After development of the proposal, several reviews, and revisions by the Senate Information Systems and Technology Committee (ISAT), it was submitted for review and feedback to the Student Senate, followed by discussion with the President’s staff and vice presidents in October, 1997. Next it was presented in two open forums for students on October 29-30, 1997, and then to the Deans. Another revision took place to incorporate the students’ input and was then forwarded to the Senate Budget Committee for consideration. The $35.00 Student Tech Fee proposal passed the Senate Budget Committee in November, 1997, then went to FACP, the Provost, and lastly to the Board of Regents for approval. Finally, in December, 1999, the proposal was approved for inclusion in fees for academic year 2000-2001.

3) Committee members are encouraged to review the Data Steward documents that were distributed via email prior to today’s meeting. Bill Paraska (or his designee) will attend the November meeting to discuss the documents. This has to do with ownership and maintaining the integrity of the university’s data.

4) Other
   a. Update on VoIP: Still negotiating contract with vendor (Avaya). Currently, there are 6,500 numbers on campus. With the new system, a block of 10,000 numbers with one exchange will be offered; however, some of
the more important numbers will be preserved; at least the last 4 digits. Emergency circuits or phones in buildings where there is no network service will not change. Conversion for the entire campus is expected by June 30, 2007. Assignment of numbers will begin in January. Costs will be predicated on current rates. Departments will have to incur the costs for new letterhead, business cards, etc.

b. Dr. Pullman emailed the committee on August 28 regarding the ASUR IT Reports. The Planning and Development Committee asked the ISAT Committee to review the reports, in particular the disparity in IT spending. JL said that there is a broader implication than what is in the reports and expressed concern that the actual budget dollars reflected in the reports may not represent a uniform method of reflecting IT costs. This item will be placed on the Agenda for the November ISAT meeting for further discussion.

c. MailFrontier, GSU's Spam filter, has been bought out by SonicWall. They will replace the boxes at no additional charge. Mary Jane said to call the Help Desk at 1-4507, or send an email, if you have any problems with your email. She explained how to manage the GroupWise Junk Box. You can change your settings, including the Spam detection aggressiveness value. Andrey Shilnikov asked if the GroupWise web client offers a "quick viewer" so you can view an email message without having to open it up. This is not currently provided by Web Access, and Novell has not indicated whether it will be in any future releases.

In answer to a comment that faculty could not send large files, even though sometimes necessary, Mary Jane said that Art Vandenberg is looking into ways for faculty to share large data files. Emails with large attachments must pass through filters and could result in a slower receipt of mails and cause backups, making it a management issue. It could possibly be a security issue if it impacted production. She said that email relay/forwarding became an issue a few years ago and was turned off. According to the current Email System Acceptable Use and Security Policy, all University hosted email systems will be configured to prevent use by third parties as email relay platforms.

With no further business, meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,
October 24, 2006
Carolyn Summerlin