Present: Marie Babb (guest), Sadhna Diwan, Dabney Dixon, Tim Fish (guest), Shif Gurmu, Cynthia Hollaway-Owens, Charlene Hurt, Zhongshan Li, Hugo Mendez, Michael Vaughn, Wendell Wickerham (guest).

Philo Hutcheson was unable to attend and asked Michael Vaughn to chair the meeting.

Master Plan Update
Tim Fish and Marie Babb with Cooper Carry Architectural Firm provided an overview of what has been occurring with Pullen Library’s Master Plan project. An assessment of the structure of the library building has been completed as well as a series of meetings with various library functional groups. Preliminary outcome indicates additional stack required; either compact shelving or off-site storage. Ideas on gathering spaces were discussed; especially in light of Georgia State University’s Master Plan, which includes changing Decatur Street from a four-lane to a two-lane thoroughfare. Security is an ongoing concern. The next step is to provide the library with a series of plan layouts and perspectives. C. Hurt indicated that additional focus groups with students and faculty would be scheduled once the findings have been summarized.

Library Buildings in the 21st Century
Wendell Wickerham with Shepley Bulfinch Richardson & Abbott Architectural Firm along with T. Fish and M. Babb discussed trends in library buildings as well as ideas that could be used for Pullen Library. Some ideas discussed were:
  - Changing the number of entrances to one. Faculty members prefer more than one entrance, easy access from both Library North and South.
  - Way finding is an issue. Currently it’s difficult to navigate through the stacks, especially with the limited number of windows. One idea would be to open up the floors by adding an atrium in Library North.
  - An electronic café is a possibility with entry into the Library from the café. This entryway would be locked when the library is closed but still accessible to students after hours.
  - H. Mendez suggested an undergraduate library within the Library. This may resolve some of the traffic issues and address specialized needs.
  - Making the entrance such that it draws students and faculty into the library, increasing the likelihood of staff and faculty interacting.
  - Make the stairwell in Library North more noticeable: fresh coat of paint and increase in lighting would make an immediate difference.

Library South Brick Remediation Update
C. Hurt indicated that a presentation to the Board of Regents is planned for September 12th on the status of the remediation. The ninth floor (which is the parapet) of Library South is the worse level. Danger of walls buckling in or out exists when there are wind gusts in excess of 25 mph. It’s estimated that the re-enforcement may take two to three months. Library staff is paging books from Library South while the building is closed.

Book Budget Consideration when College Priorities Change
C. Hurt indicated that this was a concern raised with Philo Hutcheson and her during a meeting with Hugh Hudson. The associate deans in the College of Arts & Sciences are concerned that their interests and program changes are not considered when the Library purchases research materials and were asking whether that need
could be accommodate through changes in the Senate Library Advisory Committee (SLAC) membership. Philo Hutcheson relayed to the committee through C. Hurt the he didn’t think that the SLAC should be changed for this issue and a resolution should be passed stating that Deans should bring programmatic changes to C. Hurt.

C. Hurt indicated that she currently meets with Deans and sometimes their associates and the provost, especially when there a pending decision on whether or not to purchase a new tool; i.e., when deciding whether or not to purchase SciFinder. M. Vaughn gave Social Science as an example of where the allocation is made based on multiple colleges.

D. Dixon indicated that the perception College of Arts and Science have of book budget is that it is distributed along historical lines and does not change with program changes and sub-specialties haven’t kept up. D. Dixon feels something has to be done to allow for adjustments. Faculty members find out that hardcopy journals may no longer be purchased and would like clarification on the practice of removing these journals when there is high use of the electronic copy.

C. Hurt indicated that the perception CAS has might be based on not knowing what or how library liaisons allocate the book budget. C. Hurt stated that an allocation formula is used based on percentage of program activity to overall academic activities in determining the percentage of allocation of new funds. There have been regular changes in allocation of the book budget as programs have changed, and money for new serials has been distributed on the basis of new/changed programs. There hasn’t been a massive cancellation effort and it needs to be done. D. Dixon admitted that when approached each year whether or not additional funding is needed, she hasn’t had a need. C. Hurt indicated that it’s harder to cancel a journal. Some of the library’s journals are through Galileo and we don’t pay for them. Material allocations are becoming more interdisciplinary which also has an impact. A number of our journals are package deals through consortia agreements and librarians have less control.

D. Dixon stated that a re-alignment of sub-specialties and seeing the formula would be beneficial. S. Gurmu disagreed and stated that this would be difficult because lots of the materials would be interdisciplinary. C. Hurt indicated that one strategy the library has implemented is to begin canceling duplications in order to free up funds. Historically, the library has received two copies of some journals (paper and microform). The first step is to only keep one copy in addition to the electronic copy.

S. Diwan recommended reducing to writing at least three statements that address what the library does on this issue and give them to P. Hutcheson to share. SLAC members agreed.

**Acknowledgement of Library Staff in Regard to Library South**

A resolution was sent forward that the SLAC would make the following statement in regards to the efforts library staff have made in response to the closing of Library South:

- The Senate Library Advisory Committee would like to acknowledge the remarkable efforts of Library staff that turned something overwhelming into a remarkable task.

**New Business**

The cancellation of paper copies of Wylie journals will be discussed further at the next SLAC meeting along with library policy on cancellation of paper journals and usage studies. C. Hurt indicated that a library liaison meeting has been planned and one item of discussion is the journal cancellation policy.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:30 pm. The next meeting is scheduled for October 23rd, 11:00am.
Carmen Newton
Library Human Resources Officer
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