Planning & Development Committee Meeting  
January 24, 2005

Present: J. L. Albert, Pam Barr, Al Baumstark, Cathy Brack, Joan Carson, Mary Jane Casto, 
Timothy Crimmins, Martin Fraser, Sandra Garber, Hugh Hudson, Charlene S. Hurt, John K. Lee, 
Siva Nathan, Marcia Pearl, Jerry Rackliffe, Jeffrey C. Rupp, Hazel Scott, Tarianna Stewart, 

Absent: Lisa Armistead, Benjamin Baez, Michael Binford, Greg Brack, William (Bill) Fritz, 
Christine Gallant, Richard Glover, Amy Helling, Ron Henry, Josue Heredia, John Hicks, 
LaLoria Konata, Peter Lyons, H. Richard Miller, Robin Morris, Joseph S. Rabianski, George 
Rainbolt, Ellen Taylor, Frank Whittington, Carol Winkler.

The Agenda for the meeting was as follows:

1. Approval of Minutes 12/13/04

2. 2005 Action Plan Approval (Provost Henry)

3. 2005 - 2010 Strategic Plan Preliminary Discussion (Provost Henry)

4. Other Issues

Phang Tai called the meeting to order at apx. 1pm.

Item# 1 on the Agenda, the December 13th minutes, were seconded & approved.

Ron Henry updated the committee on item #2 on the agenda: the 2005 Action Plan. He 
announced that the suggestions made at the Dec. 13th meeting had been incorporated into the 
document with no problem. A motion was made to accept the document. The motion was 
seconded and approved.

Ron then went over item #3 on the agenda: the 2005 - 2010 Strategic Plan. He explained that 
the document was drafted using the same process used in 1994 and 1999. He mentioned that 3 
groups were formed to give various input: an academic group consisting of Faculty, a collegial 
group consisting of Chairs & Deans, and an administrative group. Those groups worked on the 
document and sent it to the Strategic Planning Subcommittee, who in turn, sent it to P&D.
In going over the basic structure of the document, he mentioned that the 1st part of the document covered process & progress, the 2nd part of the document covered the strength of the students faculty, and programs, and the end covered financial aspects and the need of a strong infrastructure. He mentioned that the document referenced progress from the last 1999/2000 Strategic Plan, gave accomplishments made, and went over environmental updates and the anticipation of the increase in the student population (mentioning that GSU might be able to handle apx. 30,000 - 35,000 students by the year 2010).

Lastly, he mentioned clerical changes made to the section of the document under Interdisciplinary Programs, then a motion was made to approve the document so that it could be sent to the Senate in February 2005. The motion was seconded & approved.

OTHER ISSUES DISCUSSED

**Al Baumstark** gave the report on the *FY 2006 Major Repair & Renovation List - Draft 9*. He mentioned that the committee met, asked for responses from the VPs & Deans of the Colleges, and arrived at the list presented. He said that he felt fairly confident that a good consensus of what was needed had been achieved.

**Tim Crimmins** questioned items #5 and #14 on the list: He questioned why the Urban Life plumbing fixtures were given greater priority than the GCB’s fixtures, being that GCB was older and was used considerably more by the students the Urban Life Building. **Al Baumstark** responded saying that the same question was addressed during meetings and that the subcommittee did acknowledge the issue in detail. He said that ultimately they went with the collective decision of the Deans & VPs involved; mentioning that the decision was close, but that there were other factors that led to the Urban Life Bldg. receiving a higher priority.

**Phang Tai** reminded the group that the report was FYI information for P&D and a recommendation only, and that it would eventually have to go through CBSAC before it came back to P&D for approval. **Al Baumstark** gave praise to Sandra, Tom, and Ramesh and others working for/with the Joint Subcommittee on MRR and the meeting was adjourned at apx. 1:25pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lydia K. Woltz, Admin. Coordinator