Call to order:
Meeting was called to order at 12:20pm by committee chair, Dr. Stout.

Approval of Minutes:
The committee reviewed the minutes from the October meeting. Elizabeth Heidler motioned to approve the minutes as written, San Miller seconded the motion, and the committee unanimously approved the October committee minutes.

Subcommittee Reports:
Charter Subcommittee:
The charter subcommittee met on Wednesday, November 19, 2003 to review charter requests and are recommending the approval of 28 charters (listed below):

- K.O.P.E. (Knowledge of the Proactive Elite)
- Moot Court Team
- Student Affiliate Organization of Licensed Professional Counseling Association
- American Institute of Graphic Arts
- Gymnastics Club
- The Review
- Chemistry Club
- Minority Graduate Student Alliance
- French Club
- Incept: New Student Programs
- Public Relations Student Society of America
- BSW Social Work Club
- Theta Chi Fraternity
- Phi Chi Theta
- Tau Alpha Chi
- National Society of Minorities in Hospitality
- Edgewood Sculpture Forum
- International and Comparative Law Society
- American College of Healthcare Executives (ASHE)
- Baptist Student Union
- Association for Computing Machinery (ACM)
- Graduate Student Association of the Department of Applied Linguistics/English as a Second Language
- Omega Psi Phi Fraternity, Inc.
- The Results Organization
- National Organization for the Professional Advancement of Black Chemists and Chemical Engineers
- NEX College Ministry

Renee Douglas motioned to approve all charter applications, Rick Burt seconded the motion, the committee unanimously approved to approve all charters.
**Code of Conduct Subcommittee:**
No report – committee hasn’t met since the last Student Life & Development Meeting.

**Administrative Policies Subcommittee:**
Pamela Anthony reported that the committee met on November 19th and discussed their purpose and role in making recommendations to the Student Life and Development Committee. The subcommittee also reviewed pages 91-106 in the Student Handbook, that pertain to their charge. The policies they will cover are listed as follows: Late Night Event Policy, Chartering Process, Solicitation, and Faculty Advisor Roles. The next meeting will be scheduled shortly, where they will identify the priority in handling those policies.

Dr. Stout commented that in reviewing the code of conduct, there is no indication in the Student Organization section of the Administrative Policies that members of student organizations have to be enrolled, Georgia State University students; this will be another issue the Administrative Policies subcommittee will cover. Heather Housley suggested having the committee review the student organization vehicle rental policy and also volunteered to be a part of the Administrative Policies subcommittee.

**Student Activity Fee Committee:**
Dr. Stout informed the Committee that a record number of organizations applied for mid-year funding. In the past, about 22 organizations applied for the $100,000 in funds available through mid-year funding. This year, however, 60 organizations applied for funding. Dr. Stout also reported that at least half of the requests for funding were for travel and conference costs, where student organizations represent Georgia State University nationally.

**Budget Priorities Subcommittee Request for Feedback (Items to be held harmless):**
Dr. Stout distributed a handout from the Budget Priorities Subcommittee, the RFP Potential areas of focus. The document was marked as a final draft, but may possibly undergo revisions. Per the request of the Budget Priorities Subcommittee, prior to this meeting, Dr. Stout had asked the members to think about items under our purview that should be “held harmless” during the upcoming budget cuts. Dr. Stout opened the floor for discussion.

Jeannie Barrett suggested that it would be easier to offer a list of priorities rather than created a list of items to be cut (of programs, activities, services).

Elizabeth Heidler asked if there is a distinction between auxiliary vs. non-auxiliary services, and does that make a difference in how we would rank the list.

Ptlene Minick stated that the graduation rate and student retention are high priorities as it relates to the RFP document. Tying academic and student life programs would justify keeping programs as it relates to student retention. Any documentation on how student life aids in retention and remaining competitive with other colleges would support holding programs, activities, and services under the Student Life and Development Committee harmless.

Jeannie Barrett proposed the following ranking:
1. Disability Services
2. Housing
3. Student activities that foster student engagement in support of retention and graduation.
4. Facilities

After the discussion was complete, the committee proposed the following recommendation statement to be
submitted to the Budget Priorities Subcommittee:

The University Senate Student Life & Development Committee recommends that both direct student support services and direct instructional services (i.e., those activities that contribute to responsible retention and six-year graduation rates) should be protected from both state budget cuts and budget redirection.

The Student Life & Development Committee distinguishes the following specific services and activities as those that exemplify student support services falling within the purview of this Committee that foster student engagement and retention and should be protected in upcoming budget reduction and/or redirection processes:

1. Disability Services (federally mandated).
2. University Housing (while this is an auxiliary funded enterprise, it is crucial in promoting invaluable student connections with each other and with the University).
3. Student activities (i.e., events and ongoing experiences) that connect students to the University in meaningful ways, thus fostering retention and graduation (e.g., intercultural relations, student leadership development, student governance, student community service, student media).
4. Student facilities (locations in which students may engage in activities that connect them to the University in meaningful ways).

Heather Housley moved to accept the statement, Marolyn Wells seconded the motion, all members were in favor, and the statement was approved unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:30pm. Next meeting will be held Thursday, January 15, 2004.

Leslie Wilson, Secretary